

# $PW_{SCF}$ 's epsilon.x user's manual

**Manual Author:** *Andrea Benassi*<sup>1,2</sup>

**Code Developers:** *Andrea Benassi*<sup>1,2</sup>, *Andrea Ferretti*<sup>1,2</sup>, *Carlo Cavazzoni*<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Physics Department, Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia*, [www.fisica.unimore.it](http://www.fisica.unimore.it)

<sup>2</sup> *INFN/S<sup>3</sup> (Nanotechnology and Biosystem at Surfaces)*, [www.s3.infn.it](http://www.s3.infn.it)

<sup>3</sup> *High Performance Computing Department, CINECA Consorzio Interuniversitario*, [www.cineca.it](http://www.cineca.it)

# 1 Introduction

Epsilon.x is a post processing code of  $PW_{SCF}$ . Starting from DFT eigenvalues and eigenvectors, epsilon.x provides the real and imaginary parts of the dielectric tensor or the joint density of states, it works both in serial and parallel mode, also pool parallelization is supported. As all the others post processing codes, epsilon.x must run with the same number of processors of the previous parallel PW runs, to avoid this constrain set the variable `WF_COLLECT=.TRUE.` in pw.x input file. Epsilon.x doesn't support the reduction of the k-points grid into the unreducible Brillouin zone, so the previous PW runs must be performed with a uniform k-points grid and all k-points weights must be equal to each other, i.e. in the k-points card the k-points coordinates must be given manually in *crystal* or *alat* or *bohr*, but not with the *automatic* option. Also the auto-symmetrization of k-points grid can produce a non uniform distribution of k-points weights, in order to avoid this PW's behavior the variable `NOSYM` must be set `.TRUE.` disabling auto-symmetrization.

## 2 Input file

When executed, epsilon.x reads an input file from standard input, this file contains two Fortran namelists (value associated to each variable is the default one):

```
&inputpp
  outdir='./'
  prefix='pwscf'
  calculation='eps'
/
&energy_grid
  smeartype='gauss'
  intersmear=0.136d0
  intrasmear=0.0d0
  wmax=30.0d0
  wmin=0.0d0
  nw=600
  shift=0.0d0
/
```

the first two characters are the location and name of the output files from the previous PW runs. *calculation* select the kind of calculation to be performed by epsilon.x, actually the following calculation are implemented:

- *eps*: dielectric tensor calculation, in addition to the standard output the code produces the four files *epsr.dat*, *epsi.dat*, *eels.dat* and *ieps.dat*. The first two contain the real and imaginary parts of the dielectric tensor diagonal components  $\epsilon_{1\alpha,\alpha}(\omega)$  e  $\epsilon_{2\alpha,\alpha}(\omega)$ , as a function of frequency (in eV). The third file contains the electron energy loss spectrum calculated from the diagonal elements of dielectric tensor and the last one contains the diagonal components of dielectric tensor calculated on the imaginary axe of frequency (via London transformation)  $\epsilon_{\alpha,\alpha}(i\omega)$ . If the PW calculations have been performed in collinear spin mode the previous files contain the sum of spin up and spin down contribution, other files with prefix *u-* or *d-* are created containing the same quantities for spin up or spin down separately.
- *jdos*: joint density of state calculation, in addition to the standard output the code produces the file *jdos.dat*, containing the joint density of state (in  $\text{eV}^{-1}$ ) as a function of frequency (in eV). If the PW calculations have been performed in collinear spin mode, *jdos.dat* contains separately the spin up and spin down joint density of states.
- *offdiag*: calculation of diagonal and off-diagonal components of dielectric tensor. In addition to the standard output the code produces one file for each component of the dielectric tensor (i.e. *epsxy.dat*), each file contains real and imaginary part of the tensor component.
- *occ*: calculation of occupation factors and its first derivative, results are written on *occupations.dat*. In metallic systems it is highly recommended to permorm this calculation before anything else. Plotting this file it is easy to see if the chosen broadening parameter and k points

number are enough to have a good sampling of the fermi surface.

*smear*type select the kind of broadening for the plot of joint density of state, it can be both *gauss* or *lorentz* for a Gaussian or Lorentzian broadening. *intersmear* is the broadening parameter (in eV) for the interband contribution, it will be the Gaussian or Lorentzian broadening parameter in the case of joint density of state calculation or the Drude-Lorentz broadening parameter for the dielectric tensor calculation. *intrasmear* is the broadening parameter for the intraband, i.e. metal Drude like term (again in eV), the intraband contribution is calculated only if a Gaussian broadening or tetrahedron method it's been applied in PW calculations. The desired functions will be calculated in a frequency interval  $[-wmax, wmax]$  and *nw* is the number of points of the frequency mesh, *wmax* is expected to be in eV. Finally *shift* is the number of eV for an optional rigid shift of the imaginary part of the dielectric function.

### 3 Joint density of states

The joint density of state is defined has:

$$n(\omega) = \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{n \in V} \sum_{n' \in C} \frac{\Omega}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3\mathbf{k} \delta(E_{\mathbf{k},n'} - E_{\mathbf{k},n} - \hbar\omega)$$

or alternatively:

$$n(\omega) = \sum_n \sum_{n'} \frac{\Omega}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3\mathbf{k} \delta(E_{\mathbf{k},n'} - E_{\mathbf{k},n} - \hbar\omega) \dots$$

$$\dots f(E_{\mathbf{k},n})[2 - f(E_{\mathbf{k},n'})]/2$$

or finally:

$$n(\omega) = \sum_{n \in V} \sum_{n' \in C} \frac{\Omega}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3\mathbf{k} \delta(E_{\mathbf{k},n'} - E_{\mathbf{k},n} - \hbar\omega) \dots$$

$$\dots [f(E_{\mathbf{k},n}) - f(E_{\mathbf{k},n'})]$$

were  $\sigma$  is the spin component,  $\Omega$  is the volume of the lattice cell,  $n$  and  $n'$  belong respectively to the valence and conduction bands,  $E_{\mathbf{k},n}$  are the eigenvalues

of the Hamiltonian and  $f(E_{\mathbf{k},n})$  is the Fermi distribution function that account for the occupation of the bands. In the last two notation the sum over spin values is included into Fermi function whose normalization is two instead of one. The Dirac Delta function it's numerically implemented by means of Lorentzian or Gaussian functions normalized to one:

$$L(\omega) = \frac{\Gamma}{\pi[(E_{\mathbf{k},n'} - E_{\mathbf{k},n} - \hbar\omega)^2 + \Gamma^2]} \quad (3)$$

$$G(\omega) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-(E_{\mathbf{k},n'} - E_{\mathbf{k},n} - \hbar\omega)^2 / \Gamma^2} \quad (4)$$

$\Gamma$  is the broadening parameter from the input file. The implemented formula is obtained substituting the Dirac Delta function in (2) by (3) or (4) and substituting  $\frac{\Omega}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3\mathbf{k}$  by a simple sum over k-points.

Integrating analytically (2) one obtains:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_n \sum_{n'} [f(E_{\mathbf{k},n}) - f(E_{\mathbf{k},n'})] \quad (5)$$

so a division by this quantity is needed to renormalize to one the joint density of state, the standard output file contains a convergence check on this renormalization. Note that in the case of joint density of state the two kinds of broadening (3) and (4) are exactly equivalent.

### 4 Dielectric tensor

The imaginary part of the dielectric tensor  $\epsilon_{2\alpha,\beta}(\omega)$  can be viewed as a response function that comes from a perturbation theory with adiabatic turning on:

$$\epsilon_{\alpha,\beta}(\omega) = 1 + \frac{4\pi e^2}{\Omega N_{\mathbf{k}} m^2} \sum_{n,n'} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\alpha,\beta}}{(E_{\mathbf{k},n'} - E_{\mathbf{k},n})^2} \dots$$

$$\dots \left\{ \frac{f(E_{\mathbf{k},n})}{E_{\mathbf{k},n'} - E_{\mathbf{k},n} + \hbar\omega + i\hbar\Gamma} + \dots \right.$$

$$\left. \dots \frac{f(E_{\mathbf{k},n})}{E_{\mathbf{k},n'} - E_{\mathbf{k},n} - \hbar\omega - i\hbar\Gamma} \right\} \quad (6)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the adiabatic parameter and, for the total energy conservation it must tend to zero. This is the

way in which the Dirac Delta function appears and this means that every excited state has an infinite lifetime, i.e. is stationary.

$$\epsilon_{2\alpha,\beta}(\omega) = \frac{4\pi e^2}{\Omega N_{\mathbf{k}} m^2} \sum_{n,n'} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\alpha,\beta} f(E_{\mathbf{k},n})}{(E_{\mathbf{k},n'} - E_{\mathbf{k},n})^2} \dots \left[ \delta(E_{\mathbf{k},n'} - E_{\mathbf{k},n} + \hbar\omega) + \delta(E_{\mathbf{k},n'} - E_{\mathbf{k},n} - \hbar\omega) \right] \quad (7)$$

This situation is unphysical because the interaction with electromagnetic field (even in the absence of photons, i.e. spontaneous emission) gives an intrinsic broadening to all excited states, the lifetime is finite and  $\Gamma$  must be greater than zero. In the limit of small but non vanishing  $\Gamma$  the dielectric tensor turns into the Drude-Lorentz one:

$$\epsilon_{2\alpha,\beta}(\omega) = \frac{4\pi e^2}{\Omega N_{\mathbf{k}} m^2} \sum_{n,\mathbf{k}} \frac{df(E_{\mathbf{k},n})}{dE_{\mathbf{k},n}} \frac{\eta \omega \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\alpha,\beta}}{\omega^4 + \eta^2 \omega^2} + \dots \dots + \frac{8\pi e^2}{\Omega N_{\mathbf{k}} m^2} \sum_{n \neq n'} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\alpha,\beta}}{E_{\mathbf{k},n'} - E_{\mathbf{k},n}} \dots \dots \frac{\Gamma \omega f(E_{\mathbf{k},n})}{[(\omega_{\mathbf{k},n'} - \omega_{\mathbf{k},n})^2 - \omega^2]^2 + \Gamma^2 \omega^2} \quad (8)$$

while the real part comes from the Kramers-Kronig transformation:

$$\epsilon_{1\alpha,\beta}(\omega) = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\omega' \epsilon_{2\alpha,\beta}(\omega')}{\omega'^2 - \omega^2} d\omega' \quad (9)$$

$$\epsilon_{1\alpha,\beta}(\omega) = 1 - \frac{4\pi e^2}{\Omega N_{\mathbf{k}} m^2} \sum_{n,\mathbf{k}} \frac{df(E_{\mathbf{k},n})}{dE_{\mathbf{k},n}} \frac{\omega^2 \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\alpha,\beta}}{\omega^4 + \eta^2 \omega^2} + \dots \dots + \frac{8\pi e^2}{\Omega N_{\mathbf{k}} m^2} \sum_{n \neq n'} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\alpha,\beta}}{E_{\mathbf{k},n'} - E_{\mathbf{k},n}} \dots \dots \frac{[(\omega_{\mathbf{k},n'} - \omega_{\mathbf{k},n})^2 - \omega^2] f(E_{\mathbf{k},n})}{[(\omega_{\mathbf{k},n'} - \omega_{\mathbf{k},n})^2 - \omega^2]^2 + \Gamma^2 \omega^2} \quad (10)$$

finally the complex dielectric function is:

$$\epsilon_{\alpha,\beta}(\omega) = 1 - \frac{4\pi e^2}{\Omega N_{\mathbf{k}} m^2} \sum_{n,\mathbf{k}} \frac{df(E_{\mathbf{k},n})}{dE_{\mathbf{k},n}} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\alpha,\beta}}{\omega^2 + i\eta\omega} + \dots$$

$$\dots + \frac{8\pi e^2}{\Omega N_{\mathbf{k}} m^2} \sum_{n' \neq n} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\alpha,\beta}}{(E_{\mathbf{k},n'} - E_{\mathbf{k},n})} \dots \dots \frac{f(E_{\mathbf{k},n})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k},n'} - \omega_{\mathbf{k},n})^2 + \omega^2 + i\Gamma\omega}$$

$\Gamma$  and  $\eta$  are respectively *intersmear* and *intrasmear*. The squared matrix elements are defined as follow:

$$\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\alpha,\beta} = \langle u_{\mathbf{k},n'} | \hat{\mathbf{p}}_\alpha | u_{\mathbf{k},n} \rangle \langle u_{\mathbf{k},n} | \hat{\mathbf{p}}_\beta^\dagger | u_{\mathbf{k},n'} \rangle \quad (11)$$

$$\propto u_{\mathbf{k},n'}^*(\mathbf{r}) \frac{d}{dx_\alpha} u_{\mathbf{k},n}(\mathbf{r}) u_{\mathbf{k},n}^*(\mathbf{r}) \frac{d}{dx_\beta} u_{\mathbf{k},n'}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (12)$$

the double index reveals the tensorial nature of  $\epsilon_2(\omega)$ , while  $|u_{\mathbf{k},n}\rangle$  is a factor of the single particle Bloch function obtained by the PW's DFT calculation. In all the cases illustrated above the non-local contribution due to the pseudopotential is neglected, actually the correction to the matrix element that take into account the non-local part of the Hamiltonian it's not implemented. From the previous definition of the imaginary part of the dielectric function it is easy to see that even the local-field contributions are not implemented.

PW works on a plane wave set so the Bloch functions of the matrix element (11) are decomposed as follow:

$$|\psi_{\mathbf{k},n}\rangle = e^{i\mathbf{G}\cdot\mathbf{r}} u_{\mathbf{k},n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} a_{n,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{G}} e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G})\cdot\mathbf{r}} \quad (13)$$

and consequently:

$$\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\alpha,\beta} = \left( \sum_{\mathbf{G}} a_{n,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{G}}^* a_{n',\mathbf{k},\mathbf{G}} G_\alpha \right) \left( \sum_{\mathbf{G}} a_{n,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{G}}^* a_{n',\mathbf{k},\mathbf{G}} G_\beta \right) \quad (14)$$

defined in this way the matrix element accounts only for interband transitions, i.e. vertical transition in which the electron momentum  $\mathbf{k}$  is conserved (optical approximation). In standard optics the intraband transitions give a neglectable contribution due to the very low momentum transferred by the incoming/outcoming photon.

Operating a London transformation upon  $\epsilon_{2\alpha,\beta}(\omega)$ , it's possible to obtain the whole dielectric tensor calculated on the imaginary frequency axe  $\epsilon_{\alpha,\beta}(i\omega)$ .

$$\epsilon_{\alpha,\beta}(i\omega) = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\omega' \epsilon_{2\alpha,\beta}(\omega')}{\omega'^2 + \omega^2} d\omega' \quad (15)$$

The LOSS spectrum is proportional to the imaginary of the inverse dielectric tensor, that is:

$$Imm\left\{\frac{1}{\epsilon_{\alpha,\beta}(\omega)}\right\} = \frac{\epsilon_{2\alpha,\beta}(\omega)}{\epsilon_{1\alpha,\beta}^2(\omega) + \epsilon_{2\alpha,\beta}^2(\omega)} \quad (16)$$

this quantity provides a useful check of the dielectric tensor calculation because it reaches its maximum at the bulk plasmon frequency  $\Omega_p$ , where the real and imaginary parts cross their paths at higher frequency. The same quantity (in eV) is numerically evaluated using the following sum rule:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \omega \epsilon_{2\alpha,\beta}(\omega) d\omega = \frac{\pi}{2} \Omega_p \quad (17)$$

The result of this calculation is printed in the standard output file.